## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FERNANDEZ	, et al.	
·	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 06-07339 (MJJ)
K-M INDUSTI	v. RIES HOLDING CO., INC.,	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
-	Defendant(s).	
Cour following sti	nsel report that they have met ar ipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
Cour	rt Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (AL Early Neutral Evaluation (EN Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	OR L.R. 4) IE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably i ADR phone	more likely to meet their needs .	lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an s form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	ite Process:	
✓	Private ADR (please identify	process and provider) Private Mediation
The parties hav	e not yet agreed on the identity of a m	nediator but will continue to meet and confer on the issue.
The parties a	gree to hold the ADR session by the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR)	y: e deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other requested deadline Dece The parties may reques litigation is not yet	mber 31, 2007. t an extension if it appears that the developed enough to make mediation productive
Dated: 3//9/07		/s/ Todd Jackson
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 3/9	107	11/0/1/

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FERNANDEZ, ot al.		
		CASE NO. 06-07339 (MJJ)
•	Plaintiff(s),	
v. K-M INDUSTRIES I	HOLDING CO., INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
Counsel r following stipula	eport that they have me tion pursuant to Civil I	et and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree	to participate in the fo	llowing ADR process:
E	on-binding Arbitration	(ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably mor ADR phone con	e likely to meet their ne ference and may not fil	y settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eeds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an le this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for al Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private I ✓ P		entify process and provider) Private Mediation
		of a mediator but will continue to meet and confer on the issue.
tl	-	ion by: e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered, )
<b>√</b> 0	ther requested deadline The parties may re litigation is not	December 31, 2007.  Equest an extension if it appears that the  Eyet developed enough to make mediation productive.
Dated: 3//9/07		/s/ Todd Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 3/9	107	Attorney for Defendant
		K-M Inclustones / Heleling Co.
		dre

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Document 25

FERNANDEZ, et al.	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 06-07339 (MJJ)
v. K-M INDUSTRIES HOLDING CO., INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conf following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	erred regarding ADR and have reached the nd ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following Al	OR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than at ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	ny other form of ADR, must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	
Private ADR (please identify procest The parties have not yet agreed on the identity of a mediator	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR process	line is 90 days from the date of the order ss unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline <u>December 3</u> The parties may request an litigation is not yet devel	1,2007. extension if it appears that the loped enough to make mediation productive
Dated: 3//9/07	/s/ Todd Jackson
Dated: 3/9/07	Attorney for Plaintiff  Ochany T. Dueng  Attorney for Defendant

## <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

✓ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other December 31, 2007

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 13, 2007

- Jakin of Jakins

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE